

Current Controversies and Disagreements in Clinical Cardiology: A Rigorous Examination of Key Issues

Francisco R. Breijo-Márquez*

*Professor, Department-in-Chief at Boston University, East Boston College, USA.

*Correspondence:

Prof. Dr. Francisco R. Breijo-Márquez, Professor, Department-in-Chief at Boston University, East Boston College, USA.

Received: September 30, 2025; Published: October 31, 2025

How to cite this article:

Breijo-Márquez FR. Current Controversies and Disagreements in Clinical Cardiology: A Rigorous Examination of Key Issues. *J Cardiol Cardiovasc Ther.* 2025;1(1):1-2.

Introduction

Cardiology, like many other medical specialties, is characterized by continual advancements, evolving guidelines, and persistent debates about best practices. These controversies often stem from the expanding understanding of cardiac pathophysiology, technological innovations, and the balancing act between evidence-based medicine and individualized patient care. This editorial delves into some of the most pressing contentious issues in contemporary clinical cardiology, focusing on three specific areas: the evaluation of the QT interval, the clinical significance of PR interval variations, and the ongoing use of acenocoumarol despite the availability of newer anticoagulants.

The QT Interval: Defining Norms, Shortness, and Prolongation

Background and Significance

The QT interval on the electrocardiogram (ECG) represents the period from the onset of ventricular depolarization (QRS complex) to the end of repolarization (T wave). Accurate interpretation of this interval is crucial because its prolongation is associated with a heightened risk of torsades de pointes and sudden cardiac death (SCD), whereas its shortening can predispose to arrhythmias such as ventricular fibrillation.

Definitional Controversies

There is ongoing debate about the precise demarcation that classifies QT durations as normal, short, or prolonged. Historically, a QT interval less than 440 ms in men and 460 ms in women has been considered within normal limits, with some guidelines suggesting a unified cutoff of 440 ms. However, recent evidence emphasizes the importance of the corrected QT interval (QTc), which adjusts the QT for heart rate using formulas such as Bazett's, Fridericia's, and others.

Breijo's electrocardiographic pattern, described in 2007, consists of a shortening of the PR and QTc intervals in the same individual, the presence of which foreshadows a more than likely sudden cardiac death.

When is the QT Interval Considered Normal?

Current consensus suggests that a QTc less than 440 ms is generally normal for men and less than 460 ms for women. Nonetheless, these cutoffs are not absolute, as they should be interpreted contextually considering the patient's clinical profile, concomitant medications, electrolyte levels, and genetic predispositions. Notably, QTc values approaching 500 ms are associated with significant arrhythmic risk, particularly in the setting of additional factors such as hypokalemia or the use of QT-prolonging drugs.

QT Shortening: Pathophysiology and Risks

QT intervals shorter than 350 ms, or QTc less than 330 ms, are regarded as pathologically short. Such shortening can reflect inherited conditions like Short QT Syndrome (SQTS), a rare genetic disorder linked to arrhythmogenic risk. The clinical importance of a short QT is controversial; while some argue it is a marker of increased arrhythmic potential, others suggest it may be a benign variation in certain populations.

Current Challenges

A primary challenge is the variability in measurement and interpretation. Factors such as lead selection, heart rate variability, and measurement techniques influence QT assessment. Moreover, the thresholds derived from population data may not accommodate individual variations, especially in ethnically diverse populations.

PR Interval: Normal Range, Short, and Prolonged

Anatomy and Clinical Significance

The PR interval on the ECG reflects atrioventricular (AV) conduction time, from atrial depolarization to ventricular activation. It encompasses the sinoatrial node to the His-Purkinje system. Abnormalities bear clinical importance: prolongation may signal AV block and risk of syncope or sudden death, whereas shortening can be associated with preexcitation syndromes or accessory pathways.

Assessment and Thresholds

Typically, a normal PR interval ranges from 120 ms to 200 ms. Values exceeding 200 ms suggest first-degree AV block, with increasingly prolonged intervals indicating advancing degrees of AV block. Conversely, a PR interval less than 120 ms can be considered shortened, with some studies suggesting that intervals below 100 ms, especially if associated with symptoms, warrant further investigation.

Controversies and Clinical Implications

The clinical relevance of slightly shortened PR intervals remains uncertain. Some studies associate PR shortening with preexcitation syndromes such as Wolff-Parkinson-White (WPW) or Breijo's pattern, which can predispose to tachyarrhythmias. Conversely, symbolism of a short PR as a benign variant exists in certain young, healthy individuals.

There is debate over the significance of subtle PR prolongations whether they warrant intervention or close observation and how to integrate their assessment into risk stratification protocols.

The Persistence of Acenocoumarol Use in High-Income Countries

Introduction and Historical Context

Despite the widespread availability of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs), acenocoumarol, a vitamin K antagonist (VKA), remains a mainstay

Background and Pharmacological Profile of Acenocoumarol

Acenocoumarol is a vitamin K antagonist (VKA) extensively used in many countries, particularly in Europe and Latin America. It functions by inhibiting the synthesis of active vitamin K-dependent clotting factors, thereby exerting its anticoagulant effect. Despite the advent of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) such as dabigatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban, and edoxaban whose profiles boast fewer interactions and simpler monitoring, acenocoumarol remains a prevalent choice in several healthcare settings.

Why Does Acenocoumarol Remain in Use?

Several reasons underpin its persistent utilization:

Economic Factors: One of the primary drivers is cost. Acenocoumarol tends to be significantly cheaper than DOACs, especially in healthcare systems where budget constraints preclude widespread adoption of newer agents. Governments and insurance providers in high-income countries sometimes favor the more affordable VKA, especially for long-term management.

Clinician Comfort and Established Protocols: Long-standing familiarity with VKAs, extensive clinical experience, and extensive historical data contribute to their continued use. Many clinicians are comfortable adjusting doses, managing bleeding risks, and interpreting INR values with acenocoumarol.

Regulatory and Reimbursement Policies: In some regions, regulatory frameworks and reimbursement policies favor the

continued use of acenocoumarol rather than switching to newer agents, which may have higher acquisition costs.

Specific Clinical Contexts: Certain patient populations, such as those with mechanical heart valves or severe renal impairment, still benefit from VKAs, as DOACs lack approval or established efficacy in these subgroups.

Risks and Challenges:

Despite these reasons, the use of acenocoumarol presents several challenges:

Narrow Therapeutic Window: Achieving optimal anticoagulation while avoiding bleeding is complex, requiring meticulous INR monitoring.

Drug and Food Interactions: Acenocoumarol interacts with numerous medications and dietary factors, complicating management.

Patient Compliance: Regular laboratory testing and strict adherence are necessary, which can be burdensome for patients.

Advantages of Alternative Agents and Barriers to Adoption

In contrast, DOACs offer predictable pharmacokinetics, fewer drug interactions, and no routine monitoring. Their fixed dosing simplifies management and has demonstrated similar or superior efficacy in stroke prevention among atrial fibrillation patients, with a favorable safety profile.

Nonetheless, barriers cost, regulatory approvals in specific contexts, and clinician familiarity limit their adoption in certain settings. Additionally, some clinicians remain cautious owing to limited long-term data in particular patient groups or concerns about managing bleeding complications.

Conclusion and Future Outlook

While the global trend favors the shift toward DOACs, the continued use of acenocoumarol in many high-income countries exemplifies the complex interplay between economic dictates, clinical experience, and healthcare policies. Moving forward, efforts should focus on cost-reduction strategies for newer agents and optimizing patient selection to leverage the benefits of both classes effectively.

Final Summary

In summary, the current controversies and disagreements in clinical cardiology highlight the nuanced challenges of interpreting electrocardiographic parameters and managing anticoagulation therapy. Recognizing the limitations inherent in the current thresholds for QT and PR intervals and understanding the reasons for the enduring use of older anticoagulants such as acenocoumarol underscore the importance of individualized patient care, economic considerations, and the need for ongoing research. As our understanding deepens and technologies evolve, it is essential to critically appraise established practices to improve cardiovascular outcomes.



Copyright: ©2025 Francisco R. Breijo-Márquez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. To view a copy of this licence, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>